BEFORE THE

Illinois Commerce Commission

DOCKET NO. 00-0027

IN THE MATTER OF:

FOCAL COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION OF ILLINOIS

IN 11 3 53 PH '00

PLACE:

Springfield, Illinois

DATE:

March 31, 2000

PAGES:

599 - 605

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY
OFFICIAL REPORTERS
TWO NORTH LA SALLE STREET
SUITE 1780
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602
312-782-4705

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 217-528-6964

1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	FOCAL COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION) DOCKET NO.
4	OF ILLINOIS) 00-0027
5	Petition for arbitration pursuant to) Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications)
6	Act of 1996 to establish an) Interconnection Agreement with Illinois)
7	Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech) Illinois.)
8	Springfield, Illinois March 31, 2000
9	
10	Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 P.M. BEFORE:
11	
12	MR. WILLIAM SHOWTIS, Examiner MR. DONALD WOODS, Examiner
13	APPEARANCES:
14	MS. CARRIE J. HIGHTMAN
15	Schiff, Hardin & Waite 6600 Sears Tower
16	Chicago, Illinois 60606
17	(Appearing on behalf of Petitioner via teleconference.)
18	
19	
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22	Carla J. Boehl, Reporter LN. #084-002710

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
2	MR. DENNIS G. FRIEDMAN
3	Mayer, Brown & Platt 190 South LaSalle Street
4	Chicago, Illinois 60603
5	(Appearing on behalf of Ameritech Illinois via teleconference.)
6	MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
7	Chicago, Illinois 60601
8	(Appearing on behalf of Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission via
9	teleconference.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1		I N D E X
2	WITNESSES	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
3	NONE.	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		I N D E X
11	EXHIBITS	MARKED ADMITTED
12	NONE.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
	i	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER WOODS: Call for hearing Docket 00-0027, Focal Communications Corporation of Illinois. This is a petition for arbitration pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. This cause comes on for hearing March 31, 2000, before Donald L. Woods and William Showtis under the authority of the Illinois Commerce Commission. This case was set today for a status hearing to discuss Issue Three in the parties' briefs. The parties are on the phone through a telephone hook-up. At this time I take the appearances of Focal, please. MS. HIGHTMAN: Carrie J. Hightman, Schiff, Hardin & Waite, 6600 Sears Tower, Chicago, Illinois 60606. EXAMINER WOODS: On behalf of Ameritech. MR. FRIEDMAN: Dennis G. Friedman, Mayer, Brown and Platt, 190 South LaSalle Street, Chicago

22

60603, on behalf of Ameritech Illinois.

EXAMINER WOODS: On behalf of Staff.

MR. HARVEY: Matthew L. Harvey, 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

EXAMINER WOODS: Any additional appearances? Let the record reflect no response.

The record would also reflect discussions had among the parties before beginning today. Examiner Showtis and I are in receipt of an electronic message from Dennis Friedman on behalf of Mayer Brown. We have had extensive discussions about the material contained in this e-mail. It addresses various aspects of Issue Three which involves the unbundling of certain network elements and requirements for collocations in association with the unbundling.

Ameritech, it became apparent to us that there was kind of a disjunctive approach to this issue. The parties were asked to come to this hearing today to address that disjuncture. They have done so. We have agreed to mark this e-mail as Hearing Examiners Exhibit 1. It will be introduced into the record.

It indicates that the parties have

agreed with respect to one portion of Issue Three, that being a previous requirement that Focal collocate in the Ameritech end office. Our understanding is that has now been resolved. The parties have also agreed that, if the Examiners seek it and the Commission is willing to enter an order that does so, they will simply be instructed to prepare a final agreement for approval that reflects their agreement on this issue.

In addition, there was another issue in Issue Three which involves the treatment of ISP-bound traffic and the manner in which it attacks Focal's necessity of self-serve buying that provides significant amounts of exchange access type of local exchange service. I understand that Focal wishes to make a -- the positions of the parties are set forth in this e-mail. I understand Ms. Hightman would like to take one opportunity to present Focal's position in this docket on this matter at this time.

MS. HIGHTMAN: Yes. It is Focal's position that the service Focal provides to ISP customers with respect to internet traffic is for purposes of Issue

1	Three, and in particular for purposes of
2	self-certification under Issue Three, local exchange
3	service and not exchange access service.
4	EXAMINER WOODS: Mr. Friedman, did you wish
5	to respond?
6	MR. FRIEDMAN: No, thank you.
7	EXAMINER WOODS: Any additional proceedings
8	at this time? Ms. Hightman?
9	MS. HIGHTMAN: No.
10	EXAMINER WOODS: Mr. Friedman?
11	MR. FRIEDMAN: No.
12	EXAMINER WOODS: Mr. Harvey?
13	MR. HARVEY: None. Okay. We will put this
14	docket back on general continuance. Thank you very
15	much.
16	(Whereupon the hearing in this
17	matter was continued
18	generally.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
	i

22